

|   |                        |                     |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 09/610,033             | TACHIBANA ET AL.    |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |
|   | Monique R. Jackson     | 1773                |

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Monique R. Jackson. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Marshall J. Chick. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 August 2006 **Time:** 11:18am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*1-6, 8-14, 17-18*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Applicant's Attorney agreed to the Examiner's Amendment as recited in the attached office action incorporating the changes to Claim 1 into the other independent claims 13 and 14.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)